Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 02588 101010Z

12

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-03 INR-07 L-02 ACDA-10

NSAE-00 PA-02 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-15 TRSE-00 SAJ-01

SS-15 NSC-05 /076 W

----- 089754

R 091735Z MAY 75

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 1714

SECDEF WASHDC

INFO USCINCEUR

USNMR SHAPE

USLOSACLANT

CINCLANT

AMEMBASSY ANKARA

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

AMEMBASSY LISBON

ANEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

AMEMBASSY OSLO

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

AMEMBASSY ROME

UNCLAS USNATO 2588

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: MPOL, NATO

SUBJECT: EWG MEETING MAY 9, 1975: ANY OTHER BUSINESS--CONCULTATIONS ON ACE FORCE PROPOSALS 1977-82

AT EWG MEETING MAY 9, 1975, UNDER ANY OTHER BUSINESS, SHAPE REP (BG MILLER) CIRCULATED COPIES OF A LETTER TO ASYG HUMPHREYS CONCERNING CONSULTATIONS ON ACE FORCE PROPOSALS 1977-82.

BEGIN TEXT OF SHAPE LETTER:

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 NATO 02588 101010Z

SHAPE WILL SOON BEGIN CONSULTATIONS ON ACE FORCE PROPOSALS 1977-82 IN NATIONAL CAPITALS. THE PROGRAMME, A COPY OF WHICH IS ATTACHED, WAS DEVELOPED THROUGH THE NATIONAL MILITARY REPRESENTATIVES AT SHAPE. WE HAVE RECEIVED CONFIRMATION ON PROPOSED DATES FROM NATIONS EXCEPT

PORTUGAL AND THE UNITED STATES. CANADA, WOULD PREFER AN EARLIER DATE THAN 11-12 AUGUST AND THIS PROBLEM CANNOT BE RESOLVED UNTIL WE HAVE TH UNITED STATES RESPONSE TO OUR PROPOSED VISIT THERE ON 14-15 AUGUTS. BUDGETARY LIMITATIONS PRECLUDE OUR MAKING TWO TRIPS TO NORTH AMERICA; HENCE, IT MAY BE NECESSARY TO DISCUSS THE CANADIAN PROPOSALS AT EVERE. WE WILL UPDATE THE SCHEDULE WHEN WE HAVE FIRM DATES FOR VISITS TO PORTUGAL, CANADA AND THE UNITED STATES.

WE WOULD BE DELIGHTED IF THE MEMBERS OF THE DRC WERE PRESENT AT THEIR RESPECTIVE CAPITALS DURING THE CONSULTATION.

NOW FOR A FEW WORDS CONSIDERING THE FORCE PROPOSALS THEMSELVES. WE WILL DO OUR BEST TO ENXURE THAT THEY COMPLY WITH MINISTERIAL GUIDANCE; HOWEVER, AS YOU KNOW, WORK ON THEIR DEVELOPMENT STARTED LAST YEAR AND WE ARE NOW COMPLETING OUR DRAFT PROPOSALS. WE HAD, PER FORCE, TI START WITH MINISTERIAL GUIDANCE ISSUED IN 1973. WE HAVE SINCE BEEN MODIFYING THE DRAFT PROPOSALS AS THE VARIOUS EDITIONS OF DRAFT MINISTERIAL GUIDANCE BECOME AVAILABLE. TAILORING OF THE PROPOSALS TO CONFORM TO THE GUIDANCE WILL DICTATE MODIFICATIONS UNTIL WE FINALLY SUBMIT THEM TO THE MILITARY COMMITTEE.

THERE ARE TWO ASPECTS OF THE PRESENT EXERCISE THAT I BELIEVE I SHOULD BRING TO YOU ATTENTION AT THIS TIME. FIRST, OUR COSTING EFFORT HAS BEEN, AND WILL CONTINUE TO BE, HAMPERED BY A SHORTAGE OF COSTERS AT THIS TIME MAKING US MORE DEPENDENT ON THE NATIONS FOR COSTING DATA AND BY THE LACK OF FIRM RESOURCE GUIDANCE. IN THIS CONNECTION, WE TRUXT THAT NATIONS WILL PROVIDE BY 1 JUNE INFORMATION ON THE AMOUNT OF RESOURCES AVAILABLE FOR DEFENCE EXPENDITURES DURING THE PERIOD 1977-82. THIS INFORMATION IS VITAL IN ANY CASE TO THE FINALIZATIONOF OUR PROPOSALS, BUT RECEIPT LATER IN THE CYCLE WOULD PROBABLY PRECLUDE FITTING THE FORCE PROPOSALS NEATLY WITHIN THE RRESOURCES AVAILABLE. WE WILL MAKE EVERY EFFORT DURING THE CONSULTATIONS TO REFINE OUR COSTING AND DETERMINE THE FEASIBILITY OF THE PROPOSALS TAKING INTO ACCOUNT NATIONAL PROGRAMMES AND BUDGETARY LIMITATIONS. HOWEVER, WE DO NOT INTEND THAT THE ACE FORCE PROPOSALS UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 NATO 02588 101010Z

BE A MERE REFLECTION OF NATIONAL FORCE PLANS AND ARE SEEKING TO ACHIEVE MAXIMUM IMPROVEMENTS OF ACE FORCES WITHIN THE SCHEME OF A REASONABLE CHALLENGE FOR EACH NATON.

THIS BRINGS ME TO MY SECOND POINT. WE ARE DILIGENTLY ATTEMPTING TO ORDER PRIORITIES RIGOROUSLY AND TO COMPLY WITH BOTH THE SPIRIT AND THE

LETTER OF THE DRAFT MINISTERIAL GUIDANCE. IT IS APPARENT TO ME THAT THERE WILL BE CONFLICTING VIEWS BETWEEN SHAPE AND THE NATIONS ON BOTH PRIORITIES AND INTERPRETATION OF OTHER ASPECTS
OF THE GUIDANCE. I HOPE THAT OUR CONSULTATIOS WILL RESOLVE MOST
OF THE PROBLEMS BUT THAT WHERE THEY CANNOT
BE RESOLVED THAT THEY WILL CLEARLY ILLUMINATE THE ISSUES FOR DISCUSSION

AND RESOLUTION BY OTHER AGENCIES LATER IN THE FORCE GOALS DRILL.

I AM PROVIDING COPIES OF THIS LETTER TO OUR COLLEAGUES IN THE DEFENCE REVIEW COMMITTEE.

CONSULTATIONS ON ACE FORCE PROPOSALS 1977-82 VISITS TO NATIONAL CAPITALS MODS/CHODS MAY/AUGUST 1975

PLACE DATES REMARKS

COPENHAGEN 26-27 MAY CONFIRMED

OSLO 29-30 MAY CONFIRMED

LUXEMBOURG 3 JUNE CONFIRMED

BRUSSELS 9-10 JUNE CONFIRMED

THE HAGUE 16-17 JUNE CONFIRMED

ANKARA 23-24 JUNE CONFIRMED

ROME 26-27 JUNE CONFIRMED

BONN 1-2 JULY CONFIRMED

LISBON 4 JULY NOT CONFIRMED

LONDON 8-9 JULY CONFIRMED

OTTAWA 11-12 AUGUST NOT ACCEPTABLE

WASHINGTON 14-15 AUGUST NOT CONFIRMED

END TEXT

STREATOR

UNCLASSIFIED

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 18 AUG 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 09 MAY 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: n/a

Disposition Action: n/a
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: n/a
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 JAN 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975NATO0

Document Number: 1975NATO02588 Document Source: ADS Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a From: NATO

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750599/abbrzjwk.tel Line Count: 136 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: n/a

Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: Previous Classification: n/a Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: n/a Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: ShawDG

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 29 SEP 2003

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <29 SEP 2003 by buchantr>; APPROVED <21 JAN 2004 by ShawDG>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JÚL 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: EWG MEETING MAY 9, 1975: ANY OTHER BUSINESS--CONCULTATIOON ON ACE FORCE TAGS: MPOL, NATO PROPOSALS 1977-82

To: STATE

SECDEF INFO USCINCEUR

USNMR SHAPE USLOSACLANT CINCLANT **ANKARA**

BONN
BRUSSELS
COPENHAGEN
THE HAGUE
LISBON
ANEMBASSY LONDON
LUXEMBOURG
OSLO
OTTAWA
ROME
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006